

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/554,075	Applicant(s) TIVELLI ET AL.	
	Examiner MARK L. SHEVIN	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) MARK L. SHEVIN.

(2) Todd Reynolds.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 April 2011

Time: 2:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-21

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark L. Shevin/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Reynolds discussed a proposed Examiner's Amendment to delete portions of the instant claim preambles reciting relative terms or degrees including "high mechanical resistance", "good degree of toughness", "good resistance to cracking", and "good corrosion resistance". The dependency of claim 20 was changed from claim 4 to claim 5 to avoid duplicate claims and claims 3 and 11 were amended to avoid antecedent basis issues regarding a heat affect zone (HAZ).